## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 SOFIA 01873 021046Z

17

ACTION IO-13

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 AF-08 ARA-06 EA-07 NEA-10

CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-04 H-02 INR-07 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05

PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06 ACDA-07 /110 W ------ 083225

R 020813Z SEP 76

FM AMEMBASSY SOFIA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 1693

INFO AMEMBASSY WARSAW

AMEMBASSY PRAGUE

AMEMBASSY BERLIN

AMEMBASSY BUDAPEST

AMEMBASSY BUCHAREST

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

**USUN NEW YORK 252** 

CONFIDENTIAL 1873

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: UNGA, KN, KS, BU SUBJECT: UNGA: KOREA

REF: A) STATE 212814 B) STATE 215092

- 1. SUMMARY: BULGARIAN MINISTRY OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS INDICATES NO BASIC CHANGE IN GOB POSITION ON PRODPRK RESOLUTION, NOT SURPRISINGLY, BUT DOES SEEM TO SHOW WILLING TO PLAY MODERATING, DAMPENING ROLE IN MANEUVERINGS IF NOT DEBATE AT UNGA. END SUMMARY.
- 2. FIRST SECRETARY CALLED ON STEFAN TODOROV, CHIEF OF MFA UN AND DISARMAMENT DEPARTMENT SEPTEMBER 1 TO MAKE PRESENTATION ON BULGARIAN COSPONSORSHIP OF PRO-DPRK RESOLUTION, AS PER REF A. (TODOROV WILL BE PRESENT IN NEW YORK FOR ENTIRE UNGA SESSION.)
  PRESENTATION STRESSED CONFRONTATION OVER ISSUE OF ONLY MARGINAL INTEREST TO BULGARIA COULD POSSIBLY CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 SOFIA 01873 021046Z

INTERFERE WITH PRESENT POSITIVE DEVELOPMENTS IN

US/BULGARIAN RELATIONS; BASIC UNREASONABLENESS OF DPRK POSITION; US FOUR-PARTY CONFERENCE PROPOSAL; AND BULGARIA'S POTENTIAL FOR INFLUENCING DPRK TOWARD FLEXIBILITY AND FOR PLAYING MODERATING ROLE IN MANEUVERING AND POSSIBLE DEBATE AT UNGA.

- 3. TODOROV RESPONDED THAT BULGARIA WAS ONE OF MANY COSPONSORS OF PRO-DPRK RESOLUTION AND THAT COSPONSORSHIP OF COURSE REPRESENTED NO CHANGE IN BULGARIAN POSITION, ONE OF PRINCIPLE, BASED ON "SOLIDARITY WITH KOREAN PEOPLE." BULGARIA HAD NO DESIRE TO SEE CONFRONTATION AT UN ON THIS ISSUE THAT MIGHT INTERFERE WITH WHAT HE SAW AS GOOD RELATIONS DEVELOPING BETWEEN BULGARIA AND US, ESPECIALLY IN ECONOMIC AND CULTURAL FIELDS. TODORV SAID IN GENERAL THAT HE HOPED THIS UNGA WOULD REFLECT GENERAL "LESSENING OF TENSIONS" IN DEBATE, CONSISTENT WITH POST-HELSINKI CLIMATE.
- 4. ON QUESTION OF FOUR-PARTY CONFERENCE, WHICH TODOROV CALLED "OLD IDEA" AND WHICH EMBOFF THEN SAID WAS "STILL A GOOD IDEA," TODORV SAID THAT GOB HAD NOT CONSULTED WITH DPRK ON THAT PROPOSAL (OR THE RESOLUTION) BUT THAT HE BELIEVED THAT BULGARIA WOULD SUPPORT ROK AND PRC PARTICIPATION IF DPRK WOULD. WHEN URGED TO USE BULGARIAN INFLUENCE TO GUIDE DPRK TOWARD REASONABLENESS ON THIS ISSUE, TODOROV SAID, "I THINK WE BOTH KNOW THE NORTH KOREAN REPUTATION FOR REASONABLENESS AXES AND THINGS," RAISING HIS EYEBROWS.
- 5. IN CLOSING, HE PROMISED TO CALL US PRESENTATION
  TO ATTENTION OF MFA MINISTER MLADENOV AND REPEATED
  BULGARIA'S DESIRE THAT THIS "OBLIGATORY" POSITION
  OF BULGARIA AT UN NOT INTEREFERE WITH BILATERAL
  RELATIONS. EMBOFF REPEATED THAT THIS, IN PART,
  DEPENDED ON LEVEL OF HEAT OF CONFRONTATION AT UN AND
  THAT US REMAINED HOPEFUL THAT BULGARIA COULD EXERCISE
  RESTRAINING INFLUENCE ON DEVELOPMENTS IN NEW YORK AND
  ON DPRK ACTIONS. TODOROV RESPONDED BY PREDICTING THAT
  CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 SOFIA 01873 021046Z

THIS UNGA WOULD BE LOW ON TENSION, COVERING FAMILIAR ISSUES "THAT EVERYONE IS TIRED OF" WITH EXCEPTION OF SOUTH AFRICA WHICH HE BELIEVED WOULD BE VERY LIVELY FOCUS OF SESSION.

6. COMMENT: EMBASSY DOES NOT EXPECT ANY CHANGE OF BULGARIAN VOTE (PARA 7 REFTEL B.) AT SAME TIME, TODOROV GAVE STRONG IMPRESSION THAT, FOR BULGARIA,

PRO-DPRK RESOLUTION IS ROUTINE POSITION, NOT TO BE ABANDONED OF COURSE CONSIDERING OVERALL POLICY, BUT ALSO NOT ONE TO BLEED AND DIE FOR. TODOROV LISTENED AND RESPONDED CAREFULLY TO PRESENTATION AND RETURNED SEVERAL TIMES TO POINT, CLEARLY TAKEN, THAT GOB DID NOT WISH THIS ISSUE, MORE IMPORTANT TO US THAN TO BULGARIA, TO INTERFERE WITH PRESENT RELATIVELY SMOOTH FLOW OF BILATERAL RELATIONS. WHEN MANEUVERING BEINGS ON KOREAN RESOLUTIONS AT UN, IT IS POSSIBLE BULGARIANS MIGHT PLAY DAMPENING ROLE AMONG COSPONSORS OR WELCOME EFFORTS TO DEFER WHOLE KOREAN QUESTION THIS SESSION. USUN MAY WISH TO APPROACH TODOROV ON THIS QUESTION WHEN TIME COMES.

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: REPORTS, POLICIES, GOVERNMENT REACTIONS, UNSC RESOLUTIONS, BORDER INCIDENTS

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 02 SEP 1976 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: ultricre
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1976SOFIA01873

Document Number: 1976SOFIA01873
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS Errors: N/A

Film Number: D760333-0463

From: SOFIA

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t19760997/aaaadezc.tel Line Count: 129 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM Office: ACTION IO Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Reference: 76 STATE 212814, 76 STATE 215092

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: ullricre

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 09 APR 2004

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <09 APR 2004 by greeneet>; APPROVED <03 AUG 2004 by ullricre>

**Review Markings:** 

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MÁY 2006

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: UNGA: KOREA TAGS: PFOR, KN, KS, BU, US, UNGA To: STATE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006